Hydrogeologic Sustainability and Mitigation of Shallow Groundwater against High Saline and Chemical Pollutants

Abstract- A hydrogeologic study has been adopted to conceptualize the concepts of groundwater levels lowering in swamped area of Tyass, middle of Iraq. 2D dimensional groundwater model and, mitigation model has been used to mitigate the aquifer against high salinity and chemical pollutants by the mitigation theory of heterogeneous subsurface media, which depends upon physical and mathematical derivation, evaluation of chemical pollutants and total dissolved salts (TDS) of subsurface water before and after mitigation process. The water table level was lowered up to 2.43m at the center of a pumping well of abstraction discharge (400m³/day) obtained after 2755days in steady state. The ions concentrations of iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), mercury (Cu), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) and TDS in groundwater of 0.4, 3.25, 1.15, 0.004, 0.033 mg/liter and 7000ppm respectively were reduced to less than the allowable limits according to WHO of 0.3, 3, 1, 0.003, 0.01mg/liter, 1200ppm respectively by adding 0.2WD of fresh water from Hillah river and using maximum no. of pumping wells of (19 at April) after 240 months. The mitigation period was reduced to 120 months when the addition of solvent volume was doubled. Mitigation process in heterogeneous against high saline levels and chemical pollutants has been proven a good tool for the rehabilitation of polluted aquifers.
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1. Introduction

In arid and Semi-arid regions, the problem of high salination and concentration of chemical pollutants in unconfined bearing layers is predominantly common, especially wherever groundwater flow motion is slow and the replenishment process is inactive. This high concentration of chemical pollutants and salination is originated due to a chemical rock decomposition into the groundwater and active potential evapotranspiration. Many attempts have been performed in this aspect to understand minerals and pollutants transportation and concentration rise in porous media. No direct and related studies in this concept but close is found such as [1] outlined that a good understanding of land-use can generate guidelines for sustainable groundwater management and appropriate remediation measures could then be suggested taking into account land-use and percolation potential. Operational measures can be suggested to mitigate groundwater quality. Two study areas were undertaken in different regions of Israel's coastal aquifer, Erez-Shiqma and Ra'anana areas. [2] presented the results of lab and field work in the Fortaleza Metropolitan Region, in the state of Ceará, Brazil, for land degradation. Environmental indicators Classification for each drainage basin was in three levels; low, intermediate and high. They described the types of degradations as coastal erosion, gravitational motions of masses, dune movements, erosion, sedimentation, GW contamination pollution, landfills in unspecified locations, caves and abandoned mines of aggregates exploitation and work in swamps.

[3] demonstrated that a saturated zone denitrification in shallow groundwater with $^{3}H^{3}He$ apparent ages of $<$35 years at two central California dairies was achieved. Animal feeding operation was the main source of nitrate to groundwater. Denitrification is found anoxic zone 5m below the water table in one site and at a
second dairy, site occurs near the water table. [4] indicates that Multivariate, deterministic and stochastic methods were used to assess nitrate and pesticides levels in shallow groundwater of Mondego river, Portugal, where agricultural land-use was dominant. Nitrate risk exceeding 50mg/l. Denitrification is found important attenuation process as well as dilution by surface water. Crop type and irrigation source were very effective on nitrate contamination potential on GW. Total concentrations of pesticide compounds above 0.5 μg/l are observed in 32% of water samples, with a maximum value of 16.09 μg/l.

[5] outlined that water quality is a major challenge that human health faced in the twenty-first century. They assessed groups water contamination, approaches of water resources mitigation, particularly in organics and micropollutants including toxic metal and metalloids.

[6] presented a probability model based upon the 3D quaternary formation of Delta Red River. They indicated that ~7 million delta inhabitants use a polluted GW with toxic elements, including manganese, selenium, and barium. Probability analysis and arsenic concentrations measurements indicate a reduction in arsenic-enriched waters from Holocene to naturally uncontaminated Pleistocene aquifers because of >100 years of GW abstractions. They warned to the vertical arsenic migration induced by large-scale pumping from deep uncontaminated aquifer underlying high arsenic aquifers.

[7] stated that the fast-growing industrial city of Nagpur, India, experienced a rapid increasing in drinking groundwater. Their geochemical study comprehended 47 water samples collected from the shallow aquifer of the city and chemical tests are carried out for Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, CO$_3^{2-}$, HCO$_3^-$, Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ are carried out. The Piper diagram identifies that Ca–HCO$_3$–Cl and mixed Ca–Na–HCO$_3$–Cl prevail. It was concluded that silicate rock and anthropogenic activities are the main sources of the forgoing ions compositions with the highest nitrate concentration of 411mg/l.

[8] considered the hydrogeologic dilution of Hashymia aquifer by using a dilution process against high concentration rise. The convection–dispersion phenomena are well satisfied and two remediation scenarios were issued.

[9] studied a contaminant transport in the subsurface aquifer of Hashymia region in Iraq. The current research adopted and developed a theory of mitigation in unconfined hydrogeologic mediums wherever and whenever high salination or chemical and biological contamination have been encountered. In such a scientific context, aquifer GW attenuation becomes an efficient tool to dilute GW storage. This process may be taken several faces including chemicals (denitrification, releasing of radiochemical minerals such as $^{222}$Radon releasing into the air) or Hydrogeologic dilution of unfavorable minerals and TDS by freshwater.

The mitigation process may be naturally occurred in nature by different phenomena such as denitrification of high nitrate groundwater, releasing of radiochemical minerals like radon released into the air and hydrogeologic dilution of unfavorable minerals and TDS by freshwater. The current research adopted and developed a theory of mitigation in hydrogeologic mediums wherever high salination, chemical and biological contaminations have been encountered. The water table levels of Tyass are lower up to 2.43m and an attenuation process was used to reduce the concentrations of the most hazardous minerals in the groundwater including Fe, Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb and total dissolved salts (TDS) with concentrations of 0.4, 3.25, 1.15, 0.004, 0.033mg/l and 7000ppm to 0.1, 0.23, 0.014, 0.0021mg/l and 500ppm respectively during a period of time equals 240months. Correspondingly, the mitigation process occasionally may be a suitable technique to attenuating aquifers of high saline and pollutant concentrations.

Due to the construction of a new branch of Hillah River and originating of Tyass Island, the river water correspondingly raised groundwater levels sometimes higher than ground surface level (GSL). Because of area flooding, people migrate their lands and water authority unspecified irrigation water allocation and leaving the area uncultivated. This problem continued less than 10 years, causing many subsurface environmental problems such as soil salination and increase in unfavorable chemical pollutants, including some heavy metals like Iron(Fe) and lead (Pb) in soil.

2. Materials and Methods

I. Geography and geology

The unconfined bearing water layer of 6.27km$^2$ of Tyass area in the middle of Iraq is bounded between longitudes 44$^\circ$41′–42$^\circ$–44′46′ and latitudes 32$^\circ$21′–32$^\circ$25′ and surrounded by two diverted branches of Hillah River Figure 1. In general, the area seems to be flat with 25m above sea level (asl).
Geologically, the area is covered with quaternary unconsolidated deposits that usually consist of finer grained than the underlying pebbly sandstone [10]. Quaternary deposits are represented by flood plain sediments of the Euphrates River. These deposits comprehend clay; silt and sand with deposits of gypsum in addition to depression fill sediments, these deposits accumulated because of the floods of the Euphrates River, generally consisting of fine sand layers, silt and silt loam, [11]. In general, recent sediments within the area consist of succession layers of mud, sand, and shale with a little number of gravels in deeper layers. High saline levels certainly produce bad environment impacts for future unconfined aquifer sustainability and low endurance plants health for exaggerated saline levels and unfavorable minerals. Correspondingly, hydrologic mitigation for aquifer salination and contamination becomes evitable and needs to be considered and developed.

II. Groundwater model development

A groundwater model of [12] was developed to fit the natural groundwater flow pattern of the unconfined aquifer. The model domain was discretized into 36*46 meshes in X-axis and Y-axis, respectively, as in Figure 2. The recovery transmissivity analysis [13] is shown in Figure 3. The average transmissivity was found to be 200m²/day. The specific yield is chosen to be (0.2) of similar geologic formation, as outlined by [14]. Local field double ring infiltrometer measurements showed that the infiltration potential was found to be (0.2mm/day) and most the infiltrated water reaching the unconfined aquifer mainly comes from irrigation water. All the foregoing properties were included in the model defaults and input data files and adjusted during the model calibration.

III. Aquifer geometry

Briefly, it is found that the average aquifer bottom level is 20 m above sea level (asl), whereas the natural groundwater levels are presented in Figure 4.
IV. Model calibration and verification

The model was calibrated and verified before any environmental applications. After the model program has been run for time enough to reach a steady state condition, the simulated water table levels are compared with the natural values. The calibration process shows a matching between the natural and simulated groundwater levels of maximum difference less than 10%, Figure 4.

To verify the model, a pumping well of (400 m³/day) productivity was setup in a location shown in Figure 5 to evaluate both a safe yield (SY) and transmissivity of existing wells. The figure also presents the resulting contour maps for both groundwater levels and drawdowns. It is indicated that (400 m³/day) is a safe yield that can be exploited within a singl node to produce a drawdown of (2.43m) at the center of pumping well along section (a-b). The resulting cone of depression at a steady state condition is shown in Figure 6.

The time-drawdown variation curves at the locations of 100m beyond the pumping well location, respectively are shown in Figure 7. The maximum drawdown of 1.38m has been obtained after 2755day, respectively, as presented in Figures (6 & 7).

a. Mitigation concept development

Mitigation process in heterogeneous media against high saline concentrations and other types of contamination as radiological elements and heavy...
metals needs preapprhension of the physical and mathematical basis of mitigation.

V. Theoretical background
Let a solute of concentration \(C_{\Delta}\) in mg/liter and volume \((\Delta V)\) in liters is added to a solution volume \((V_1)\) with a concentration of \(C_1\), Figure 8. The resulting volume is \((V_2)\) with concentration \(C_2\). Moreover, the conservation of mass requires that:

\[\text{solvent mass}_1 = \text{solvent mass}_2\]  
(1)

But inc the mass of the solvent in solution = \(c\). Therefore Eq.(1) becomes

\[c_2v_2 = \Delta vc_\Delta + c_1v_1\]  
(2)

But:

\[v_2 = v_1 + \Delta v\]  
(3)

Combining of Eq.2 and Eq.3 offers a mitigation model in heterogeneous media:

\[c_2 = \frac{c_1v_1 + c_\Delta \Delta v}{(v_1 + \Delta v)}\]  
(4)

By Eq. 4 one can estimate concentration \(c_2\) of pollutant in the water-bearing layer after adding \((\Delta v)\) of fresh \((c_\Delta = 0)\) water or even with the concentration \((c_\Delta \neq 0)\) to the original volume \((v_1)\) with concentration \((c_1)\).

VI. Groundwater chemistry
The sustainability of groundwater category of Tyass area constantly required an investigation of the accumulated chemical compositions of most effective minerals originating from the permanent interflow of Hillah River and potential evapotranspiration. Briefly, the most effective mineral, including Fe, Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb, and TDS, were measured and listed in Table 1. The minerals represent not all existing minerals but the dominant in the groundwater environment of Tyass. In general, the results reveal that all minerals concentration exceeds the allowable limits.

VII. Groundwater lowering
Tyass area, as shown in Figure 9, was swamped and needs to groundwater lowering. It was decided to use the pumping well shown in Figure 5 for this purpose and found that a pumping discharge of \((400 \text{ m}^3/\text{day})\) is enough to lower the groundwater levels \(2.43 \text{m}\) at the center of the area.

VIII. Groundwater mitigation
A number of discharging wells were installed along and adjacent to the new reach of Hillah River to discharge the polluted groundwater whereas the injecting wells were installed close the old reach to recharge the aquifer with fresh water as shown in Figure 10. The mitigation process represents instantaneous injection-discharging water in and from the aquifer by the two types of wells. The process was started in January 2015 until January 2018. Fresh water of Hillah River exceeding the water demands (WD) by 20% was used to supplying the area and the same quantity (20%WD) instantaneously discharged from the aquifer into the new reach of Hillah River for replenishment purposes. This dilution process was expected to refreshing the aquifer against the accumulated minerals and reducing their concentrations continuously as this process proceeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IONS</th>
<th>units</th>
<th>Col.1</th>
<th>Col.2</th>
<th>Col.3</th>
<th>Col.4</th>
<th>Col.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>mg/liter</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>Sensitive plants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9: Cross section a-a (pointed out in Fig.5), [14]
The monthly WD of Tyass was estimated and listed in (Table 2, col.1). The total water supply of old Hillah river reach was previously suggested to be (1.2WD) to satisfy the WD by existing ditches or by the injection wells. The 0.2WD was extracted from the aquifer by the discharging wells shown in Figure 10 and returned into the new reach of Hillah River later on. The continuous recycling of 0.2WD of groundwater will predominantly mitigate the aquifer water storage and reduces the chemical pollutants concentration to a minimum. Naturally, the required number of the discharging wells depends on the safe yield of the aquifer and the practical productivity of the well. However, in the case of Tyass, these constraints were not considered because of up normal flooding condition at which excessive depletion of the aquifer is not important.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Net WD (m³/s)</th>
<th>Water Supply of Hillah river, (m³/s)</th>
<th>Pumping Rate Exploitation (L/s)</th>
<th>Pumping Well No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>0.094918</td>
<td>0.113902</td>
<td>18.98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOV</td>
<td>0.021022</td>
<td>0.025226</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEB</td>
<td>0.043542</td>
<td>0.05225</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>0.140224</td>
<td>0.168269</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>0.285924</td>
<td>0.343109</td>
<td>57.18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>0.432716</td>
<td>0.519259</td>
<td>86.54</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUN</td>
<td>0.395768</td>
<td>0.474292</td>
<td>79.15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUL</td>
<td>0.304683</td>
<td>0.36562</td>
<td>60.93</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUG</td>
<td>0.263571</td>
<td>0.316285</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>0.195479</td>
<td>0.234575</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The productivity of each well: 4.6 L/s, col. (2) = col. (1) * 1.2, col.(3) = col(1) * 0.2

3. Results and Discussions
The pollutants concentrations values, as shown in Figures. (11a to 11f) are reduced continuously and represent the average concentrations of six testing wells scattered over the area, as shown in Figure 10. The monthly field concentration measurements were continued from January 2015 to January 2018. Since the coincidence between the estimated and measured concentrations are acceptable during 36months, the estimated values were extended to a period of 240 months (20 years) to reach the concentration of the added freshwater of Hillah river. All curves take a zigzag shape due to the variations of the monthly water demand discharge.

I. Remediation of chemical pollutants impact
Remediation of chemicals concentration in Tyass aquifer was achieved by continuous fresh water replenishment by simultaneous injecting and pumping of 0.2WD fresh water from Hillah river. This process was adopted and evaluated along three years. The evaluation technique was achieved by:
1-continuous field concentration measurements along 3 years of groundwater extraction and testing through the test well is shown in Figure 10.
2-Chemical pollutants concentration estimate by using the model of Eq.4.
The measured and estimated concentration values along 36 months offer an acceptable coincidence for Fe, Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb, and TDS as represented in Figs. 11a to 11f, respectively.
3-The good coincidence between the measured and estimated pollutants concentrations of the foregoing minerals helps to prediction the concentrations for a further interval of 240 months by theoretical estimation rather than by field measurements as shown in Figure 11.
II. Reducing of Remediation Period

It is intuitively the increase in addition of solvent volume will reduce the remediation time of chemicals concentrations, the following was done:
1- Additions of Hillah river fresh water were doubled compared with the preceding scenario; half dilution time was obtained to reach the same final pollutants concentrations as shown in Figs 12 a, 12 b & 12c.
2- Since concentration results were previously verified, field measurements were skipped.

4. Conclusions and Finding

The shallow groundwater of Tyass area is contaminated with many undesired pollutants including Fe, Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb and total dissolved salts (TDS) with concentrations exceed the Iraq allowable limits of (1984). The mitigation model has been successfully used to reduce the pollutants concentrations from 0.4, 3.25, 1.15, 0.004 and 0.033mg/l to 0.1, 1.02, 0.23, 0.014, 0.0021mg/l for Fe, Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb respectively by adding 0.2WD of fresh water of Hillah river during a period of time 240months by using a maximum no. pumping wells of (19 at April). At the same time, the TDS was reduced from 7000ppm to 500ppm during the same period.

It is found that the mitigation model can be used for prediction of future concentrations of any pollution in heterogeneous media for specified refreshment process.
Figure 12: Temporal Reduction of Pollutants Concentrations due to Dilution Model
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